

UCL Statistics for Uncensored Full Data Sets				
User Selected Options				
Date/Time of Computation	ProUCL 5.125/04/2020 16:53:43			
From File	WorkSheet.xls			
Full Precision	OFF			
Confidence Coefficient	95%			
Number of Bootstrap Operations	2000			
foc SP				
General Statistics				
Total Number of Observations	10	Number of Distinct Observations	5	
		Number of Missing Observations	0	
Minimum	-0.022	Mean	-0.0187	
Maximum	-0.017	Median	-0.0185	
SD	0.00149	Std. Error of Mean	4.7258E-4	
Coefficient of Variation	-0.0799	Skewness	-1.139	
Normal GOF Test				
Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.892	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.842	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.22	Lilliefors GOF Test		
5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.262	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level				
Assuming Normal Distribution				
95% Normal UCL		95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)		
95% Student's-t UCL	-0.0178	95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)	-0.0181	
		95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)	-0.0179	
Gamma Statistics Not Available				
Lognormal Statistics Not Available				
Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics				
Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level				
Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs				
95% CLT UCL	-0.0179	95% Jackknife UCL	-0.0178	
95% Standard Bootstrap UCL	-0.018	95% Bootstrap-t UCL	-0.018	
95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL	-0.0181	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL	-0.018	
95% BCA Bootstrap UCL	-0.0181			
90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL	-0.0173	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL	-0.0166	
97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL	-0.0157	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL	-0.014	
Suggested UCL to Use				
95% Student's-t UCL	-0.0178			
Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.				
Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.				
These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).				
However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.				
Note: For highly negatively-skewed data, confidence limits (e.g., Chen, Johnson, Lognormal, and Gamma) may not be reliable. Chen's and Johnson's methods provide adjustments for positively skewed data sets.				

Variabile : Vento medio

Stazione : SAMASSI longitudine : 8.9195 latitudine : 39.5225

ANNO	VALORE (m/s)
2005	3.5
2006	3.6
2007	3.8
2008	3.8
2009	3.7
2010	NA
2011	NA
2012	NA
2013	3.7
2014	3.6
2015	3.6
2016	3.5
2017	NA
<b>MIN</b>	<b>3.5</b>